

Academic Advancement: Dossier Preparation

Angie Gelli, PhD

Professor, Dept., of Pharmacology, School of Medicine
Chair, Committee on Academic Personnel (CAP)

May 20th, 2025

Dossier Elements That You and Your Department Academic Personnel Analyst Prepare in MyInfoVault

- **Research/Publications & Creative work**
- **Teaching record**
- **Service**
- **Honors & Awards**
- **Grants & Contracts**
- **Candidate Statement**
- **COVID impact, DEI, Public Impact, other statements**

When Will Your Dossier Be Seen By CAP?

- Initial appointment if **above** Assistant Professor, Step 3.0
- Appraisal for tenure
- If department, FPC or Dean recommend a 2.0 step advancement
- Promotion to Associate or Full Professor
- When advancing above Full Professor **Step 5.5 (barrier step)**
- When advancing to Above Scale (above Professor **9.5**)
- Reappointments to Department Chair
- Appointment to Endowed Chairs or Professorships
- Some other less likely occasions

CAP recommendations are advisory to the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs

CAP Membership

- Nine full professors representing major campus units
- Appointed by the Committee on Committees, typically serve 3 years (~3 new members/year)



Research & Creative Work: Expectations

- ✓ **Evidence of continued and significant engagement is essential for advancement and promotion**
- ✓ **Originality, creativity, scope, and impact of work**
- ✓ Development of a **coherent, focused research or creative program** that demonstrates **your intellectual voice**
- ✓ Expectations for **quantity** vary with field/department but peer assessment of **quality** is crucial (e.g., quality of journals, publishers, conferences; extramural letters; reviews; h-factor, etc.)
- ✓ Reviewers take a holistic approach; no single factor makes or breaks a case

Research & Creative Work: Items In Dossier

- ✓ **Publication list** (articles, chapters, books, patents, etc.)
- ✓ **Creative activities** (art, compositions, performances, etc.)
- ✓ **Contributions to jointly authored work:** very important page particularly if you are not the first or corresponding author. Describe your role/contribution for each paper. Include any mentoring role.
- ✓ **Grants & contracts** (award period, amount, funding agency, **role**) Money is not a criterion for advancement but demonstrating that your research is sustainable and significant is; external funding can assist and/or indicate sustainability/significance
- ✓ **Presentations & invited talks related to your research** can be evidence of impact
- ✓ **Honors & awards related to your research or standing in the field**
- ✓ **Candidate statement** is your opportunity to explain the significance and originality of your work

Teaching: Expectations & Items In Dossier

- ✓ **Check with your department regarding expected teaching load**
- ✓ **Assessment of teaching effectiveness**
 - Student evaluations (numbers, comments, return rates etc.)
 - Peer teaching evaluation (including in department letter)
 - Self-assessment of teaching
- ✓ **Particularly important in establishing the significance of your teaching contribution:**
 - Curriculum development – new courses developed & approved
 - Pedagogical innovation: new teaching materials, teaching methods
 - Mentoring: graduate and undergraduate supervision & mentoring
 - Informal mentoring
 - Overload teaching
- ✓ **Grants & contracts related to education**
(e.g. internal or external grants support curriculum development)
- ✓ **Honors & awards related to education** (teaching & mentoring awards, particularly at campus level)
- ✓ **Candidate statement** is your opportunity to explain your teaching contributions

Service: Expectations & Items in Dossier

- ✓ **Service at all levels:** department, college/school, campus, profession, and public
- ✓ **Lower expectations pre-tenure**
- ✓ **Expectations for service & leadership increase with rank and step**
- ✓ **List of service** (department, college, campus & professional)
 - Dates of service with emphasis on period under review
 - Specify role/title (e.g., chair, member, participant)
 - Identify organizations, publications, etc. accurately
- ✓ **Honors & awards for service**
- ✓ **Candidate statement** is your opportunity to explain your service contribution; it may be particularly helpful to spell out in detail your time commitments to different service roles (e.g. number of meetings, length of meeting prep time; contributions to documents generated; number of submissions reviewed; fellowships; leadership roles)

Candidate Statement

- ✓ Optional, but VERY highly recommended
- ✓ Maximum of five pages
- ✓ If preparing your dossier for a promotion, address separately the entire review period AND your accomplishments since your most recent merit advancement
- ✓ At barrier steps, address APM requirements—e.g. step 6: “sustained and continuing excellence” in scholarship or creative achievement, teaching, and service, AND “great academic distinction, recognized nationally”
- ✓ This is your opportunity to clarify and highlight the unique value of your accomplishments.

Further Optional Statements

- **DEI: NO LONGER PERMITTED as of 05/2025.**
- **Public/Global Impact Statement:** discuss e.g. policy roles, public information roles, community engagement, improving professional practice. See <https://publicengagement.ucdavis.edu/guidance-merit-and-promotion>
- **ARO Impact Statement: Achievement Relative to Opportunity:** Applies to impact of pandemic, strike, shift in Federal policies on research, teaching, service (no need for personal information)

Possible Recommendations/Actions

- **Appraisals:** positive, guarded, or negative
- **Merit advancements:** 1.0 step or more than 1.0 step
- **Promotions:** 1.0 step or more than 1.0 step
- **Accelerated promotions:** 1.0 step only

Appraisals

- An appraisal of your teaching, research/creative activity and service is performed in your **fourth year or sooner**.
- Your dossier is reviewed by the department, FPC, the Dean, CAP and the VPAA after which an appraisal letter is sent with reviewers' feedback on performance in each area.
- A **positive** appraisal indicates that continuation of the trajectory is likely to result in promotion;
- A **guarded** appraisal indicates that there are positive aspects of the record but that certain elements of the record – either incomplete, unknown in outcome, or deficient and requiring attention – yield concerns about the prospects of promotion;
- A **negative** appraisal indicates that the present trajectory does not meet Academic Personnel Manual standards and would likely result in a recommendation against promotion.

Step Plus Guidelines, 1.0-step Advancement

- A 1.0-step advancement requires a balanced record, appropriate for rank and step, with evidence of a **meritorious** record of accomplishments in **all areas of review** (research/scholarly activity, teaching, and service*)
- A 1.0-step action is a **substantial & commendable accomplishment**; balanced performance in all areas is challenging in itself!
- Expectations increase with rank and step
- Indicates that colleagues value and respect your accomplishments in research, teaching and service

*and professional competence for some titles

Step Plus Guidelines For Additional 0.5-steps

A 1.5-step advancement requires a **meritorious record in all areas** of review with **outstanding** achievement in **at least one** area (scholarly and creative activity, teaching, university and public service, and, for some titles, professional competence and activities).

A 2.0-step advancement requires a **meritorious record in all areas** of review, with **outstanding** achievement in **at least two areas**.

For promotions, the record will be evaluated for the **entire** review period for extra 0.5-steps. All areas must be deemed meritorious (based on rank and step) to be considered for extra 0.5-steps in any area.


Activities that have been previously awarded extra 0.5-steps will not be considered for additional 0.5 steps.

A Few Final Notes About Your Dossier

- Extramural letters for promotions and advancement to Above Scale; optional for Step 6 (consult your department chair!)
- Review periods typically begin on July 1 & end June 30, with some extensions for late accepted publications
- Ensure information is current, accurate and complete
- Work closely with department chair and staff
- Consider keeping your MIV dossier updated regularly; this will mean you/your department's Academic Personnel Analyst are not rushed to complete it, and will limit errors due to forgetfulness
- At the least keep a record of ALL your activities—teaching, mentoring, scholarly (conferences, talks, publications), professional activity & service

Resources

- Consult your department chair, senior colleagues, faculty with experience on FPC or CAP
- Read the evaluation criteria in APM 210 and 220
- Visit the Academic Affairs website



<http://academicaffairs.ucdavis.edu>

<https://academicaffairs.ucdavis.edu/annual-call>